FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET.
IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH : NAGPUR.

CRIMINAL APPLICATION No. 1871 OF 2007.

Sau.Dhanashri M. Dere ..vs.. State of Maharashtra.

=-=-=-

anticipatory bail came to be rejected by the Sessions Judge is that the accused leaves for nearby village she may tamper with the prosecution witnesses. It is contended on behalf of that applicant that the applicant has married with Milind and she resides in totally different village which is more than 30 kilometres away. In any case, the witnesses, who are material in the present case, are relatives of Surekha. Thus, it is difficult to assume that the applicant may tamper with the witnesses. Therefore, there is not enough material against her. In this circumstances, I find that this is a fit case were anticipatory bail should be granted to the applicant. Hence, the order.

In the event of arrest in Crime No. 59/2007 registered at P.S. Mangrulpir, the applicant be released on bail on her entering into personal bond in the sum of Rs. 5000/- with one surety in the like amount.

Hamdast granted.

JUDGE

andurkar.